Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,611	HIKOSAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allow</u>		
(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.	(3)	
(2) <u>Rico Hernandez</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 September 2006	Time: <u><i>AM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	 The examiner will provide a written arate record of the substance of the 	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
K A. L.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed incorporating subject matter of claim 6 into claim 1 (and claim 15 into claim 9) to bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants counter-proposed incorporating the subject matter of claim 5 into claim 1 (and claim 14 into claim 9). Upon consideration, the Examiner agreed with applicants that such an amendment would be sufficient to distinguish over the art of record..